comprising the steps of:

receiving at a font server, information associated with a font file stored in a computer system;

comparing, at the font server, the information associated with the font file with information associated with an uncorrupted font file; and

in response to said step of comparing, determining, at the font server, whether the font file stored in the computer system is corrupted.

4. (Amended) The method according to claim 3, wherein said step of replacing further includes the steps of:

transmitting the uncorrupted font file from the font server to the computer system; and

storing the uncorrupted font file in memory in the computer system.

6. (Amended) The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of transmitting a result of said step of determining to the computer system.

7. (Amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein if the font file is corrupted, said method further comprising the step of transmitting the uncorrupted file to the computer system for replacing at least a portion of the font file.

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully ask for reconsideration of both this application and the Office Action dated October 2, 2002. A response to this Office Action was due on January 2, 2003, so Applicants are also submitted a Petition for a one month extension of time, along with

2